USD#

417

USD Form 150 2014-2015 ESTIMATED LEGAL MAXIMUM GENERAL FUND BUDGET

General Fund Budget – Lines 1 through 20

1. Estimated 9-20-2014 FTE enrollment (from Table I or Table IV) (Exclude 4 yr old at-risk FTE.)	=	701.8
2. Estimated 9-20-2014 4yr old at risk FTE enrollment (e) (Must be approved.)(At-risk students count as .5 FTE)		
7.5 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 4)	=	7.5
3. Total Estimated 9-20-2014 FTE Enrollment (Line 1 + Line 2)	=	709.3
4. Estimated low enrollment and high enrollment for districts. 9-20-2014 FTE enrollment (from line 3) 709.3 x 0.345127 factor (from Table II or pages 5, 6)	=	244.8
5. Estimated weighted bilingual education enrollment. 9-20-2014 bilingual FTE (a) 5.7833 + 0.0000 (Table IV, Line 5) x 0.395	=	2.3
6. Estimated weighted vocational education enrollment. 9-20-2014 vocational education FTE(b) 31.5333 + 0.0000 (Table IV, Line 6) x 0.5	=	15.8
7. Estimated weighted at-risk student enrollment(c). Number of eligible students that qualify for free lunches as of 9-20-2014 263 + 0 (Table IV, Line 7) x 0.456	=	119.9
 8. Estimated High At-Risk Weighting. District's calculated free lunch percentage for current year: (Comes from Table VI, Line 1) 37.10% 		
District's calculated students per square mile: Line 3 / square miles in district = 709.3 / 537 = 1.3		
a. Number of students eligible for free lunch (at least 50%)(263+0)xb. Number of students eligible for free lunches at 35.1% and 212.1 students per square mile.(263+0)x	0.105 = 0.105 =	0.0
c. Number of students eligible for free lunches (35-49.99%) (263+0) x (0.371-0.3500) x 0.7	=	3.9
 c. Number of students eligible for free lunches (35-49.99%) (263+0) x (0.371-0.3500) x 0.7 9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 	=	<u>3.9</u> 0.0
9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a	=	
9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25	= = =	0.0
 9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 10. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5) 11. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 3) 	= = = =	0.0 87.8
 9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 10. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5) 11. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 3) 	= = = = =	0.0 87.8 0.0
 9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 10. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5) 11. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 3) 12. Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,852 	= = = = =	0.0 87.8 0.0 0.0
 9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 10. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5) 11. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 3) 12. Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,852 13. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f) 627,554 ÷ \$3,852 14. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt apprvd by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,852 	= = = = =	0.0 87.8 0.0 0.0 162.9
 9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 10. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5) 11. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 3) 12. Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,852 13. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f) 627,554 ÷ \$3,852 	= = = = = =	0.0 87.8 0.0 0.0 162.9 0.0
 9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 10. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5) 11. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 3) 12. Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,852 13. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f) 627,554 ÷ \$3,852 14. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt apprvd by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,852 15. Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment 16. Estimated 2014-2015 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 15) 1,346.7 x \$3,852 17. Estimated Cost of Living weighting \$0 ÷ \$3,852 	=	0.0 87.8 0.0 0.0 162.9 0.0 0.0
 9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 10. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5) 11. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 3) 12. Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,852 13. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f) 627,554 ÷ \$3,852 14. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,852 15. Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment 16. Estimated 2014-2015 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 15) 1,346.7 x \$3,852 17. Estimated Cost of Living weighting \$0 the max 	=	0.0 87.8 0.0 0.0 162.9 0.0 0.0 \$5,187,488 0.0
 9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 10. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5) 11. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 3) 12. Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 13. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f) 627,554 ÷ \$3,852 14. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 15. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 16. Estimated 2014-2015 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 15) 17. Estimated Cost of Living weighting 18. Estimated 2014-2015 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) 13. Estimated 2014-2015 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) 	=	0.0 87.8 0.0 0.0 162.9 0.0 \$5,187,488 0.0 \$5,187,488
 9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 10. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5) 11. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 3) 12. Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,852 13. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f) 627,554 ÷ \$3,852 14. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 0 ÷ \$3,852 15. Estimated FHSU Math & Science Academy FTE enrollment 16. Estimated 2014-2015 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 15) 1,346.7 x \$3,852 17. Estimated 2014-2015 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) 1,346.7 x \$3,852 19. Amount to transfer to General Fund (Form 149, Line 3). 	(imum) = =	0.0 87.8 0.0 162.9 0.0 \$5,187,488 0.0 \$5,187,488 \$18,840
 9. Estimated weighted FTE for new facilities. 9-20-2014 enrollment of students attending a new facility (d) 0.0 + 0.0 (Table IV, Line 9) x 0.25 10. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. (Table III, Line 5) 11. Estimated weighted FTE virtual enrollment. (Table V, Line 3) 12. Estimated ancillary facilities weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 13. Estimated Special Education weighting. Amount of Sp. Ed. Funding (f) 627,554 ÷ \$3,852 14. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 15. Estimated Declining Enrollment weighting. Amt approved by Court of Tax Appeals 16. Estimated 2014-2015 operating budget. (Lines 3 through 15) 17. Estimated Cost of Living weighting 18. Estimated 2014-2015 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) 13. Estimated 2014-2015 operating budget. (Include Cost of Living and FHSU) 	(imum) = =	0.0 87.8 0.0 0.0 162.9 0.0 0.0 \$5,187,488 0.0 \$5,187,488

21. Estimated 2014-2015 LOB General Fund budget (excludes Virtual & FHSU weighting & includes higher of 2008-09 Spec Ed or current yr Spec Ed) (Lines 3 through 10 + 12 + 14 + 17) = 1183.8 x \$4,490 = \$5315262 + 672,573 (Spec Ed) = \$5,987,835

(a) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours approved bilingual class on 9-20-2014 and divid clock hours $34.7 \div 6 =$	ing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours	nrolled and attending in an for an individual student). 1 '833 (Record on Line 5)	otal		
(b) FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours in an approved vocational class on 9-20-2014 and	s of vocational education student nd dividing by 6 (cannot exceed	s who are enrolled and atter			
 (c) USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assis (d) In order to access new facilities weighting, a US prior to July 1, 2014 and bond money was used funds on a military reservation located on USD (e) Four year old at risk students are counted as .5 of Education. (f) Comes from form 118 (line 20). 	tance plan for the school district SD must have adopted at least a I for construction of new facilities 207 and USD 475.	25% LOB. Only eligible to or new schools that were b	uilt primarily with feder		
(NOTE: If September 20 falls on a weekend, the for	llowing Monday will be the offici	al count date.)			
	TABLE I Declining Enrollment C	alculation	USD#	417	
1. September 20, 2013, FTE and February 20, 20	14 FTE enrollment (Excludes 4 y	r old at risk students.)	:	=	701.0
2. September 20, 2014, FTE enrollment (Excludes			:	=	700.5
3. 3 YR AVG FTE: (703.8 + (9/20/2012 FTE)*	701.0 (line 1) 701.8	+			704.0
$\frac{700.5}{(line 2)}$)/3=	(goes to line 3)			=	701.8
* Excludes 4 yr old at risk students, but includes4. FTE enrollment for budget purposes (higher of li	-	ne 1 if no military provision; s	see Table IV.)	=	701.8
	TABLE II Low and High Enrollment				
Enrollment of District		Factor			
0 - 99.9 100 - 299.9 300 - 1,621.9 1622 and over		1.014331 5 (E - 100)]÷3642.4} -1 0 (E - 300)]÷3642.4} -1 0.03504			
'E' is 9-20-2014 Adjusted FTE Enrollment (from Pag	ge 1, line 3)				
EXAMPLE: (FTE of 954.0)		FOR COMPUTED FAC SEE 2014-2015 LOW F			
{[5406 - 1.237500 (954.0 - 300)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 1.237500 (654.0)]÷3642.4}-1 {[5406 - 809.325]÷3642.4}-1 {4597.675÷3642.4} -1 1.261991-1 0.261991		AND HIGH ENROLLMI TABLE (PAGES 5 AND	ENT FACTOR		
	TABLE III Transportation Weig	ghting			
1. Area of district in square miles 9-20-2014.			:	=	537.0
2. All public pupils transported or for whom transpo who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (Esti		9-20-2014	0.0 (Table IV) :	=	287.0
3. Index of density = Line 2	2	87.0 divided by Line 1	(Line 8) 537.0	=	0.53
4. Using index of density (Line 3), determine transp	portation weighting factor.		:	=	0.3060
5. Estimated weighted FTE for transportation. 9-20 2.5 miles (line 2)287.0 x		nts over 3060 factor (Line 4) (to Line	11, Page 1)	=	87.8
					
8/13/2014 4·02 PM	TABLE IV House Bill 2059 - Military Form 150	Provision	USD#	417 Page 3	2

1.	Estimated Adjusted 9-20-2014 FTE (Table 1, Line 3, Form 150)	=	701.8	
2.	Estimated 2-20-2015 FTE (excludes 4 yr old at risk students) of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2014 (Must be at least 25 FTE or 1% of Line 1. If it doesn't 0.0 meet criteria then calculates zero.)	=	0.0	
3.	Estimated FTE Enrollment count for 2014-2015 (Line 1 + Line 2) to Line 1, Form 150	=	701.8	
Νι	Imber of students in Line 2 with the following weighting factors:			
4.	Estimated 2-20-2015 4yr old FTE (add to Line 2, Form 150)	=	0.0	
5.	Estimated weighted bilingual education enrollment. 2-20-2015 bilingual FTE (a) 0.0000 x 0.395 (add to Line 5, Form 150)	=	0.0	
6.	Estimated weighted vocational education enrollment. 2-20-2015 vocational education FTE (b) 0.0000 x 0.5 (add to Line 6, Form 150)	=	0.0	
7.	Estimated weighted at-risk student enrollment (c). Number of students eligible that qualify for free lunches as of 2-20-2015 0 x 0.456 (add to Line 7, Form 150)	=	0.0	
8.	Estimated 2-20-2015 FTE of new students of military families, not enrolled on 9-20-2014 transported or for whom transportation is being made available 2-20-2015 who reside in the district 2.5 miles or more (goes to Table III, Line 2, Form 150)	=	0.0	
9.	Estimated weighted 2-20-2015 FTE for New Facilities (d) FTE 0.0 x 0.25 (add to Line 9, Form 150)	=	0.0	
	FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of bilingual students who are enrolled and attending in an approved bilingual class on 2-20-2015 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours 0.0 \div 6 = 0.0000 (Record on Line 5) 0 FTE is computed by taking the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending in an total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending to the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending to the total clock hours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending to thours of vocational students who are enrolled and attending to th			
. ,	approved vocational class on 2-20-2015 and dividing by 6 (cannot exceed 6 hours for an individual student). Total clock hours $0.0 \div 6 = 0.0000$ (Record on Line 6)			
(c)	USD must have an approved at-risk pupil assistance plan for the school district. Part-time students in grades 1-12 and students and over are not eligible for at-risk weighting.	s 20 y	ears	
(d)	(d) In order to access new facilities weighting, a USD must have adopted at least a 25% LOB. Only eligible to schools that had bond issue prior to July 1, 2014 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 and USD 475.			
TABLE V Virtual Enrollment Weighting (K.S.A. 72-3715, 72-3716)				
1.	Estimated 9/20/2014 FTE Virtual Enrollment 0.0 X 1.05	=	0.0	
2.	Estimated Virtual Students Taking AP* Courses 1st Semester $0 \times .08 = 0$. 2nd Semester $0 \times .08 = 0$. 0.8 = 0.		0.0	
3.	Estimated Weighted FTE Virtual Enrollment	_	0.0	
	The Advanced Placement (AP) course is not available in the home district of the virtual pupil. The home strict is either more than 200 square miles or has an enrollment of at least 260 pupils.			
teo oc pro	irtual School" means any school or educational program that: (1) Is offered for credit; (2) uses distance-learning chnologies which predominately use internet-based methods to deliver instruction; (3) involves instruction that curs asynchronously with the teacher and pupil in separate locations; (4) requires the pupil to make academic ogress toward the next grade level and matriculation from kindergarten through high school graduation; requires the pupil to demonstrate competence in subject matter for each class or subject in which the pupil			

is enrolled as part of the virtual school; and (6) requires age-appropriate pupils to complete state assessment tests.

TABLE VI High At-Risk Weighting Calculation	USD#	417	
 Calculated free lunch percentage for the current year (goes to page 1, line 7) (Page 1, Line 7 total students eligible for Free Lunches) / (Page 1, Line 3) = 263 + 0 / 709.3 = 	37.10%	=	37.10%
2. District's calculated free lunch percentage for the prior year (info only)		=	36.30%

ADDITIONAL DEFINITION FOR SCHOOL FACILITIES (Must use a minimum LOB listed below to qualify for this provision.)

a) School Facilities Definition - School facilities weighting is available for school districts whose adopted local option budget (LOB) is at least 25% for 2014-15 and have constructed an entirely new facility or an addition to an existing facility. Only eligible to schools that had bond issue prior to July 1, 2014 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 or USD 475.

The determination of weighting will be based upon the number of full-time equivalent (FTE) students that are enrolled and attending in the new facility September 20 (and February 20 for districts qualifying under K.S.A. 72-6448). In the case of school districts that have constructed an addition to existing facilities, the number of students that are enrolled and attending in the new addition will be counted on a full-time equivalent basis (see example 2.) The additional weighting for this provision of the law is applicable for two years only. For a new facility, the FTE is for the entire building (see example 1). For additions to an existing facility, the following calculating would be utilized.

Example #1: (For new buildings.)

For a totally new constructed building, the FTE equals the total enrollment FTE for that building.

	Headcount	<u>FTE</u>
Kindergarten	77	38.5
Grade 1	87	87.0
Grade 2	81	81.0
Grade 3	75	75.0
Weighting for exam	ple:	281.5 X 0.25 = 70.4 X \$3852 = \$271181

Example #2: (For new additions)

	Total number of students in each new classroom Number of class periods (divide by) Full-time equivalent enrollment =	
Example:	New classroom A = New classroom B = New classroom C = New classroom D = TOTAL =	105students for the day154students for the day133students for the day121students for the day513
	divide by _ = _	7 class periods 73.3 FTE

Weighting for above example: 73.3 X 0.25 = 18.3 X \$3852 = \$70492

Qualifying for New Facilities Weighting

In order to qualify for new facilities weighting, a district must have adopted at least a 25% local option budget. Only eligible to schools that had bond issue prior to July 1, 2014 and bond money was used for construction of new facilities or new schools that were built primarily with federal funds on a military reservation located on USD 207 and USD 475.